

Stephen Reckner PRIN AUTOMATIC CONTROL (INTC1441 52231S)

## BC Survey - Results 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S), PRIN AUTOMATIC CONTROL

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) survey results for "PRIN AUTOMATIC CONTROL (INTC1441 52231S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood Assistant Research Analyst Brazosport College 500 College Drive Lake Jackson, TX 77566 (979)-230-3189 robert.wood@brazosport.edu Stephen Reckner 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) PRIN AUTOMATIC CONTROL (INTC1441 52231S) No. of responses = 2



## Survey Results

#### 3. Level of Agreeance: Instructions for course activities and assignments n=2 av.=4 strongly disagree strongly agree were clear. md=4 50 dev.=1.4 The workload in this course was well distributed n=2 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4 md=4 throughout the term. 50 dev.=1.4 The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus. n=2 av.=5 strongly disagree strongly agree md=5 50 dev.=0 Assessments/exams were based on material n=2 strongly disagree strongly agree covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, av.=3.5 md=3.5 group activities, etc. 50 dev.=2.1 The instructor made learning relevant to my field of n=2 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=5 md=5 study, career, or degree. dev.=0 The instructor used a variety of instructional n=2 av.=4 strongly disagree strongly agree methods (examples: group discussions, student md=4 presentations, student activities, lab activities, online dev.=1.4 discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.) The instructor provided timely feedback on my n=2 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=4 assignments. md=4 50 dev.=1.4 The instructor exhibited professional behavior, n=2 strongly disagree strongly agree av.=3.5 md=3.5 treated students respectfully, and used appropriate language during class.

3.9)	The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.	100 50	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	strongly agree	n=2 av.=5 md=5 dev.=0
 3.10)	The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.		0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	strongly agree	n=2 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=2.1
4.	Overall Satisfaction With This Course:								
4.1)	Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning very Dissatis experience in this course.	fied <sup>100</sup> 50	0%	0%	50%	0% 4	50%	Very Satisfied	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.4
5.	Course Recommendation:								
5.1)	How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?	<b>kely</b> 100 50	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	Very Likely	n=2 av.=3.5 md=3.5 dev.=2.1
7.	Student Demographics:								
7.1)	How many credits have you completed toward your degree or cert	ificate?	)						
	0 - 30 completed cree				$\overline{}$			50%	n=2
	31 - 60 completed cred	_						0%	
	61 - 90 completed cred	dits						50%	
	91 + completed cred	dits						0%	
 7.2)	This course is instructed as:								
	a face-to-face cou	rse 🗀						100%	n=2
	a hybrid/blended course (a combination of face-to-face and online instruction	on)						0%	
	an online synchronous (students are required to participate online at a specific tin	me)						0%	
	an online asynchronous (students view course materials at any tir	me)						0%	
7.3)	This term I am currently enrolled in:								
	5 or less credit ho	urs						0%	n=2
	6 - 8 credit ho	urs						0%	
	0 44	ure			$\neg$			50%	
	9 - 11 credit ho	ui3						0070	

7.4) My current major is:		
Bachelor of Applied Technology (BAT)	0%	n=2
Associate of Arts or Multi-Disciplinary Degree (AA)	0%	
Associate of Arts in Teaching (AAT)	0%	
Associate of Science (AS)	0%	
Associate of Applied Science (AAS) in a technical area	50%	
Certificate in a technical area	50%	
7.5) I am currently a high school student taking college courses.		
Yes	0%	n=2
No	100%	

# **Comments Report**

### 6. Open Response:

- <sup>6.1)</sup> What are the strengths of this course?
- A challenging class, very informative. Good hands-on work with practical labs. The instructor is very straightforward and no BS, This is my preferred style of teaching. 10/10
- 6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?
- n/a
- <sup>6.3)</sup> Any further, constructive comments?
- n/a